

TITIS FITHING APPINES IL	his Filing Applies t	0	0
--------------------------	----------------------	---	---

- City of Sacramento Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 (Federally Taxable),
 \$188,390,000 Dated: May 6, 2020
 786089JC7, 786089JD5, 786089JE3, 786089JF0, 786089JG8, 786089JH6, 786089JJ2, 786089JK9,
 786089JL7, 786089JM5, 786089JN3, 786089JP8, 786089JQ6, 786089JR4
- 2. City of Sacramento, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2017, \$52,610,000, Dated: June 22, 2017 786089HG0, 786089HH8, 786089HJ4, 786089HK1, 786089HL9, 786089HM7, 786089HN5, 786089HP0, 786089HQ8, 786089HR6, 786089HS4, 786089HT2, 786089HU9, 786089HV7, 786089HW5, 786089HX3, 786089HY1
- 3. City of Sacramento, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, \$215,195,000, Dated: March 28, 2013 786089GF3, 786089GG1

TYPE OF FILING:

If information is also available on the Internet, give URL: www.dacbond.com

WHAT TYPE OF INFORMATION ARE YOU PROVIDING? (Check all that apply)

Financial / Operating Data Disclosures

Rule 15c2-12 Disclosure

Annual Financial Information & Operating Data (Rule 15c2-12)
Audited Financial Statements or ACFR (Rule 15c2-12)
Failure to provide as required

Additional / Voluntary Disclosure

	Quarterly / Monthly Financial Information
	Change in Fiscal Year / Timing of Annual Disclosure
	Change in Accounting Standard
	Interim / Additional Financial Information / Operating Data
	Budget
	Investment / Debt / Financial Policy
	Information Provided to Rating Agency, Credit / Liquidity Provider or Other Third Party
	Consultant Reports
	Other Financial / Operating Data
Εv	ent Filing
Rυ	ıle 15c2-12 Disclosure
	Principal / Interest Payment Delinquency
	Non-payment Related Default
	Unscheduled Draw on Debt Service Reserve Reflecting Financial Difficulties
	Unscheduled Draw on Credit Enhancement Reflecting Financial Difficulties
	Substitution of Credit or Liquidity Provider, or Its Failure to Perform
	Adverse Tax Opinion or Event Affecting the Tax-exempt Status of the Security
	Modification to the Rights of Security Holders
	Bond Call
	Defeasance
	Release, Substitution or Sale of Property Securing Repayment of the Security
¥	Rating Change
Fit	ch Affirms Rating with Stable Outlook
	Tender Offer / Secondary Market Purchases
	Merger / Consolidation / Acquisition and Sale of All or Substantially All Assets
	Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event
	Successor, Additional or Change in Trustee
	Failure to Provide Event Filing Information as Required
	Financial Obligation - Incurrence and Agreement
	Financial Obligation - Event Reflecting Financial Difficulties
Αc	Iditional / Voluntary Disclosure
	_
	Amendment to Continuing Disclosure Undertaking
	Change in Obligated Person
	Notice to Investor Pursuant to Bond Documents
	Communication From the Internal Revenue Service
	Bid For Auction Rate or Other Securities
	Capital or Other Financing Plan

Litigation / Enforcement Action
Change of Tender Agent, Remarketing Agent or Other On-going Party
Derivative or Other Similar Transaction
Other Event-based Disclosures
Asset-Backed Securities Filing
Additional / Voluntary Disclosure
Initial Asset-Backed Securities Disclosure (SEC Rule 15Ga-1(c)(1))
Quarterly Asset-Backed Securities Disclosure (SEC Rule 15Ga-1(c)(2)(i))
Annual Asset-Backed Securities Disclosure (SEC Rule 15Ga-1(c)(2)(ii))
Other Asset-Backed Securities Disclosure (e.g. notice of termination of duty to file reports pursuant to SEC Rule 15Ga-

Disclosure Dissemination Agent Contact:

Name: DAC

1(c)(3)

Address: 315 East Robinson Street

Suite 300 City: Orlando

State: FL

Zip Code: 32801-1674 Telephone: 407 515 - 1100

Fax: 407 515 - 6513

Email Address: emmaagent@dacbond.com Relationship to Issuer: Dissemination Agent

Authorized By:

Name: Claudia Lara Title: Debt Analyst

Entity: Sacramento, CA, City of Sacramento

2/23/23, 7:51 AM Filing Certificate

Digital Assurance Certification

Filing Certificate

DAC transmitted the Rating Change to EMMA/SID (if applicable) on behalf of Sacramento, CA, City of Sacramento under their SEC Rule 15c2-12 Continuing Disclosure Agreement.

Transmission Details: P21263588

Date & Time Stamp: 02/23/2023

Document: Rating Change: Fitch Affirms Rating with

Stable Outlook

DAC Bond Coversheet: Yes

Transmitted to: MSRB-EMMA

Total CUSIPs associated with this Filing: 34

Filing made on Series: 2020, 2017, 2013

Codes: P (Prerefunded), R (Refunded), U (Unrefunded), E (Escrowed), A (Advance Refunding), D (Defeased), T (Tendered), V (Derivatives), UD (Undetermined), NLO (No Longer Outstanding)

Red: Original CUSIPs - filing missed Blue: Non-Original CUSIPs - filing missed Green: Outstanding CUSIPs - filing made Black Inactive CUSIPs

1. Issue: City of Sacramento Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 (Federally

Taxable), \$188,390,000 Dated: May 6, 2020

CUSIP: 786089JC7, 786089JD5, 786089JE3, 786089JF0, 786089JG8, 786089JH6,

786089JJ2, 786089JK9, 786089JL7, 786089JM5, 786089JN3, 786089JP8,

786089JQ6, 786089JR4

No missing CUSIPs for this bond issue

2. Issue: City of Sacramento, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2017, \$52,610,000, Dated: June

22, 2017

CUSIP: 786089HG0, 786089HH8, 786089HJ4, 786089HK1, 786089HL9, 786089HM7,

786089HN5, 786089HP0, 786089HO8, 786089HR6, 786089HS4, 786089HT2,

786089HU9, 786089HV7, 786089HW5, 786089HX3, 786089HY1

No missing CUSIPs for this bond issue

3. Issue: City of Sacramento, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, \$215,195,000, Dated:

March 28, 2013

CUSIP: 786089GF3, 786089GZ9, 786089GG1

No missing CUSIPs for this bond issue



915 I Street, HCH 3rd Floor Sacramento CA 95814

John Colville ~ City Treasurer

Phone 916-808-5168 Fax 916-808-5171

Via Email

ADDITIONAL (VOLUNTARY) DISCLOSURE RATING AFFIRMED

Dated: February 23, 2023

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 22, 2023, Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") affirmed the "AA-" long-term rating and changed the outlook from "stable" to "positive" for various City of Sacramento Water Revenue Bonds. The rating affirmation impacts the following series of bonds:

- Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013
- Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2017
- Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2020

City of Sacramento

Brian Wong Debt Manager

Attachment: Fitch Ratings Report for the City of Sacramento's Water Revenue Bonds



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Revises Sacramento, CA Water System Outlook to Positive from Stable; Affirms Rating at 'AA-'

Wed 22 Feb, 2023 - 5:56 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - Austin - 22 Feb 2023: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the 'AA-' rating on the following city of Sacramento, CA (the city) water system (the system) obligations:

--Approximately \$240.6 million water system revenue bonds, series 2013, 2017, and 2020.

Fitch has also assessed the system's Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) at 'aa-'. The SCP represents the credit profile of the system on a stand-alone basis irrespective of its relationship with, and the credit quality of the city (Issuer Default Rating AA/Stable).

The Rating Outlook has been revised to Positive from Stable.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ♦ RATING ♦ PRIOR ♦

Sacramento (CA) [Water] Sacramento (CA) AA-Rating LT AA- Rating Outlook Positive /Water Revenues/1 Outlook LT Stable **Affirmed**

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

The 'AA-' bond rating and 'aa-' SCP assessment reflect the system's very low leverage, measured as net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), in the context of very strong revenue defensibility and operating risk, both assessed at 'aa'. Rate increases implemented over the last decade bolstered FADS as the system moved through a capital-intensive period. The Positive Outlook reflects expectations for lower leverage than previously expected, in light of cost containment measures the last few years that outperformed projections, in conjunction with more moderate capital needs in upcoming years. FADS is expected to be sufficient to support planned capital and operations and keep leverage between 5.0x and 6.0x on a sustained basis.

The 'aa' revenue defensibility assessment is anchored in the system's rate-setting autonomy and service area that displays favorable underpinnings. The operating risk profile is assessed at 'aa', reflecting a very low operating cost burden and manageable capex. Capital needs are declining as the system has completed its metering project that came in under budget and ahead of its mandated deadline.

CREDIT PROFILE

The system provides essential water services to more than 500,000 residents of the city, California's state capital. The system provides some water on a wholesale basis to Sacramento Suburban Water District, Sacramento County Water Agency, California American Water Co., and the system also provides some water on a wheel basis to Sacramento County Water Agency.

The city has rights to an ample supply of local surface water under high-priority water rights that is limited in only the most severe drought conditions. Sacramento draws about 74% of its water supplies from the American and Sacramento Rivers based on a five-year average. Another 26% of supplies are provided by local ground water wells. The city estimates that its reliable supplies equal about 280 million gallons per day (mgd) compared with recent demand of about 81 mgd in fiscal 2022. The city has contracts with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation that require the agency to provide sufficient flows to meet city needs before serving the Bureau's own Central Valley Project customers from its Folsom Lake reservoir. Ample water supply is treated at two city-owned and operated treatment plants.

Fitch considers the system a related entity of the city given the relationship of the system serves as an enterprise fund of the city. The rating on the water revenue bonds is not currently constrained by the credit quality of the city. However, as a result of being a related entity, the issue rating could become constrained by a decline in the general credit quality of the city.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Defensibility 'aa'

Very Strong Rate Flexibility; Mature Service Area

The system is the monopoly provider of essential retail water services to a large urban service area. The customer base is diverse and largely residential. Despite several years of rate increases approaching 10%, rates remain affordable for a large portion of the population. No additional rate increases are expected over the near term.

Operating Risks 'aa'

Very Low Operating Risk Due to Very Low Water Costs; Capital Needs Expected to Decline

The system's very affordable and ample water supply provides for a very low operating cost burden. The system is exiting its capital-intensive phase that addressed metering all customers, with future capital costs expected to be significantly lower than in recent years.

Financial Profile 'aa'

Very Low Leverage to Decrease Modestly

The financial profile is very strong and recent cost containment measures in conjunction with more moderate capital needs in upcoming years will help moderate leverage at a lower level than previously assumed, which supports the Positive Outlook. The liquidity profile is neutral to the assessment.

Asymmetric Additive Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- --Leverage that stabilizes to approximate 8x or less through Fitch's base and stress cases, assuming revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments remain unchanged;
- -- Continued ability to generate sufficient FADS through either rate increases or cost containment measures.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

--Sustained trend of leverage that approaches 10.0x through Fitch's base and stress cases, assuming revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments remain unchanged, would likely stabilize the Rating Outlook.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sectorspecific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

SECURITY

The bonds are secured by a senior lien on the city's net water system revenues after payment of maintenance and operations expenses.

Revenue Defensibility

The system's revenue defensibility is assessed at 'aa', reflecting favorable service area characteristics and an independent ability to raise rates. The system is the monopoly

provider of essential retail water services to a large urban service area. The customer base is diverse and largely residential. Rates are set by the city council with no outside regulatory approval, although, typical of California utilities, rates are subject to challenge under Proposition 218, which allows ratepayers to roll back rates if a majority submits a written protest. While there have been past challenges to rate adjustments, since fiscal 2013 the city council approved significant rate increases that supported planned capital investments and rising debt service.

Service area characteristics are favorable, reflecting average income levels and unemployment. Growth, while still midrange, has seen improvement with the five-year total customer compound annual growth rate of 1.1% in 2022. The area is seeing some uptick in growth and residents from the bay area moving to the region. The State of California is the dominant local economic force and employer in the city. While the state provides a solid fundamental base for local economic activity, the concentration of state employment in the city leaves Sacramento vulnerable to changes in the state's budget position and employment levels. Customer concentration is not a concern as the 10 largest retail accounts, which are primarily comprised of governmental entities, account for about 8% of fiscal 2022 operating revenues.

Fiscal 2022 (FYE June 30) water rates result in a monthly bill of about \$50, based on Fitch's assumed usage of 7,500 gallons and are affordable for around 80% of the population. The city council has shown strong rate discipline in recent years, increasing rates 10% each year from fiscal years 2013 to 2020 (except 2016) to support a significant increase in capital spending and associated debt costs. No additional rate adjustments are planned through at least fiscal 2025 now that capital needs are moderating. However, management continues to evaluate the need for future rate increases to support capital needs and operations. Fitch expects rate flexibility will remain sound in upcoming years.

Operating Risks

The operating risk profile is very strong, assessed at 'aa'. Low cost water supply provides for a very low operating cost burden that has averaged about \$3,200 per million gallons of water produced since 2018. Included within Fitch's calculation of operating cost burden is the system's transfer to the general government. The utility tax transfer approved by voters and levied on the city's water, wastewater, stormwater and solid waste enterprises may be higher or lower than 11% on one or more of the enterprises provided that the total tax paid by all of the enterprises does not exceed 11% of the total gross revenues received from user fees and charges of all of the enterprises combined. The city currently levies 11% on each of the enterprises.

The fiscal 2023-2027 capital improvement plan (CIP) totals \$138 million and will be funded from pay-go resources and system impact fees. Capital expenditures are expected to be down considerably from the fiscal 2018-2022 total spend of \$270 million. Annual capital costs are expected to range from \$23 million to \$30 million, considerably lower than the historical five-year average of \$54 million.

The system was not historically metered due to the abundance of water, but state law required the system to be metered by 2025, which the system accomplished early. Accelerated capital spending to address the meter mandate is reflected in a low life cycle ratio of 30% and very favorable five-year average capex to depreciation of 245%, as of fiscal 2022. Despite a favorable life cycle ratio, Sacramento's water utility is a mature, established system with aging infrastructure that requires continuous reinvestment. The city is making a major push to resolve past maintenance and infrastructure investment deficiencies and is phasing into a 100-year replacement cycle, which is included in the current CIP.

Financial Profile

The system's financial profile is assessed at 'aa'. Leverage registered 6.5x in fiscal 2022, a slight increase after several years of steady decline due to additional draws on state revolving fund (SRF) loans. The liquidity profile is neutral to the financial profile assessment with a liquidity cushion that reached 1,155 days and coverage of full obligations of 1.6x in fiscal 2022.

Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST)

The five-year forward look provided by FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and a stress case. The stress case is designed to impose capital costs 10% above expected base case levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios. Management's forecast informed Fitch's base case as the assumptions were considered reasonable and took into consideration conservative assumptions related to inflationary cost increases.

The system's 2023-2027 CIP, which totals \$138 million takes into consideration ongoing infrastructure repair and rehabilitation primarily funded via pay-go. Under these assumptions leverage declines through fiscal 2025 before increasing to 5.4x and 5.7x in the base and stress cases, respectively, in fiscal 2027. The Positive Outlook is based on Fitch's expectation that leverage remains below 8.0x and retains some headroom even if rates remain flat beyond 2026. Additionally, stabilizing leverage over the intermediate term

supports the Outlook. The liquidity profile is expected to remain neutral to the assessment with COFO staying at or above 1.3x and solid days cash on hand through the forward look.

Asymmetric Additive Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

Sources of Information

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF **RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Victor Valdez

Analyst

Primary Rating Analyst

+15128135650

victor.valdez@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

2600 Via Fortuna, Suite 330 Austin, TX 78746

Shannon Groff

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+14157325628

shannon.groff@fitchratings.com

Audra Dickinson

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+15128135701

audra.dickinson@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Mar 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 01 Sep 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Sacramento (CA)

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and

complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency

of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

US Public Finance Infrastructure and Project Finance **United States** North America