

**EVENT NOTICE PURSUANT TO S.E.C. RULE 15c2-12
RATING CHANGES**


Dated: July 24, 2014

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 22, 2014, Standard & Poor's Rating Services upgraded its issuer credit rating for the City of Sacramento from A+ to AA- with a stable outlook; while raising its rating for the Sacramento City Financing Authority outstanding lease revenue bonds, issued on behalf of the City, from A to A+ with a stable outlook. The Sacramento City Financing Authority is the issuer for the following issues:

- 1993 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A
- 1993 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series B
- 2002 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds
- 2003 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds
- 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series A
- 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series B
- 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series C
- 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series D
- 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series E

Attached to this notice is the report from Standard & Poor's Rating Services, including the full analysis for the ratings.

City of Sacramento



Janelle Gray
Debt Manager

Attachment: City of Sacramento – Report.pdf

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Sacramento City Financing Authority, California Sacramento; Appropriations; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jennifer Hansen, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5035; jen.hansen@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Matthew T Reining, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5044; matthew.reining@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Sacramento City Financing Authority, California Sacramento; Appropriations; General Obligation

Credit Profile

Sacramento ICR

Long Term Rating

AA-/Stable

Upgraded

Sacramento City Fincg Auth, California

Sacramento, California

Sacramento City Fincg Auth (Sacramento) cap Improv rev bnds (Comnty Reinvestment Cap Improv Prgm) ser 2006 B

Long Term Rating

A+/Stable

Upgraded

Sacramento City Fincg Auth (300 Richards Blvd Bldg Acquisition) ser 2006D

Unenhanced Rating

A+(SPUR)/Stable

Upgraded

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised its issuer credit rating (ICR) to 'AA-' from 'A+' on the City of Sacramento, Calif. Simultaneously, Standard & Poor's raised its rating and underlying rating (SPUR) to 'A+' from 'A' on Sacramento City Financing Authority, Calif.'s outstanding lease revenue bonds, issued on behalf of the city. The raised ratings reflect our view of the city's improved finances, as demonstrated by current and projected budgetary surpluses, and cost-cutting measures, which have eliminated prior-year deficits. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our opinion of the city's:

Adequate economy

Sacramento serves an estimated population of 475,000 in Sacramento County and is located in the northern section of California's Central Valley at the confluence of the Sacramento and American rivers. Because Sacramento is the state's capital, its employment base is concentrated in government; roughly a quarter of jobs in the area are in the government sector. Sacramento's local economy is adequate, in our view, with per capita market value at roughly \$74,600 and projected per capita effective buying income at 89% of the national average. The county unemployment rate was 8.8% in 2013, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and is down from more than 10% in fiscal 2012. In our opinion, residents benefit from participation in the broad and diverse Sacramento-Roseville-Arden-Arcade, Calif. metropolitan statistical area; we view this as a credit strength.

Strong management

In our opinion, Sacramento's management conditions are strong, with "good" financial practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize them or monitor all of them regularly.

Strong budgetary flexibility

Sacramento's budgetary flexibility is strong, in our opinion, with available reserves at 10.5% of operating expenditures in fiscal 2013. The city has improved its budgetary flexibility during the past two years, and it projects it will close fiscal 2014 with a \$1 million increase in its economic uncertainty reserve and an additional \$400,000 increase in its reserve in fiscal 2015.

Very strong liquidity

Very strong liquidity supports Sacramento's finances, in our view, with total government available cash at 89% of total government fund expenditures and at 12.3x debt service. Based on past issuance of debt, we believe that the issuer has strong access to capital markets to provide for liquidity needs if necessary.

Adequate budgetary performance

In our opinion, Sacramento's budgetary performance is adequate overall, with a surplus of 2.1% for the general fund and a deficit of 2.8% for the total governmental funds in fiscal 2013. The city is projecting small general fund surpluses in both fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015.

Very weak debt and contingent liabilities profile

Sacramento's debt and contingent liabilities profile is very weak, in our opinion. Net direct debt is 121.7% of total governmental funds revenue, and total governmental funds debt service is 7.2% of total governmental funds expenditures. The city plans to issue \$299 million of debt early in 2015 to fund the new Sacramento Kings stadium close to downtown Sacramento. However, the city has identified several sources of revenue to fund the additional debt and stated that the impact to the general fund will be minimal. In our opinion, the city's pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) obligations are large and a negative credit factor. Last year, Sacramento contributed 100% of its annual required pension contribution. The annual pension and OPEB costs accounted for 12% of total government expenditures in fiscal 2013. However, we recognize that the city has adjusted its pension and OPEB cost structure, including eliminating OPEB benefits for new hires in most employee groups. In addition, most city employees are now required to contribute to the California Public Employees' Retirement System.

Strong institutional framework

We consider the institutional framework score for California municipalities with a federal singled audit requirement as strong. See Institutional Framework score for California.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the city's strong budgetary flexibility, with small surpluses projected in fiscal 2014 and balanced operations projected for fiscal 2015. Further strengthening the ratings is our view of the city's strong management practices and good financial policies. We do not expect to change the ratings within our two-year outlook horizon due to our anticipation that the city will maintain adequate reserves and a very weak debt profile.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: California Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of July 22, 2014)

Sacramento City Fincg Auth, California

Sacramento, California

Sacramento City Fincg Auth cap Imp (300 Richards Blvd Bldg Acquisition) ser 2006C

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento City Fincg Auth (Comnty Reinvestment Cap Improv Prgm) ser 2006A

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento City Fincg Auth (Master Lse Prog Facs) ser 2006E

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento City Fincg Auth (Sacramento) 2003 cap imp rev bnds (911 Call Ctr & other mun proj) dtdt 09/30/2003 due 12/01/2008-2024 2027 2033

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento City Fing Auth (City Hall & Redev Proj) ser 2002A dtd 07/02/2002 due 12/01/2003-2022 2028 2032

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento Fincg Auth, California

Sacramento, California

Sacramento Fin Auth lse rev rfdg bnds ser 1993B (MBIA) (National) (SEC MKT)

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento Fin Auth (Sacramento)

Long Term Rating A+/Stable Upgraded

Sacramento Fin Auth (Sacramento) lse rfdg ser 1993A (AMBAC)

Unenhanced Rating A+(SPUR)/Stable Upgraded

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.